arXiv:1203.6009v1 [math.CV] 27 Mar 2012

1

NORM OF THE BERGMAN PROJECTION

DAVID KALAJ AND MARIJAN MARKOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the the norm of the weighted Bergman projection operator $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ where $\alpha > -1$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bloch space of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider two Bloch norms, the standard Bloch norm and invariant norm w.r.t. automorphisms of the unit ball. Our work contains as a special case the main result of the recent paper [4].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Introduce the notation which will be used in this paper. We follow the Rudin monograph [5]. Throughout the paper n is an integer bigger or equal to 1. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the inner product in the complex n-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$\langle z, w\rangle = z_1\overline{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\overline{w}_n, \quad z,\ w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ are coordinate representation in the standard base $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The inner product induces the Euclidean norm

$$|z| = \langle z, z\rangle^{1/2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{B}$ the unit ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$ and let $\mathbb{S} = \partial\mathbb{B}$ be its boundary.

We let dv be the volume measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$, normalized so that $v(\mathbb{B}) = 1$. We will also consider a class of weighted volume measures on $\mathbb{B}$. When $\alpha > -1$, we define a finite measure dv_α on $\mathbb{B}$ by

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z),$$

where c_α is a normalizing constant so that $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}) = 1$. Using polar coordinates, one can easily calculate that

$$c_\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}. \tag{1}$$

It is known that the biholomorphic mappings of $\mathbb{B}$ onto itself have the following form

$$\varphi_a(\omega) = \frac{a - \frac{\langle\omega,a\rangle}{|a|^2}a - (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2}(\omega - \frac{\langle\omega,a\rangle}{|a|^2}a)}{1 - \langle\omega, a\rangle}, \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathbb{B},$$

up to unitary transformations; for $a = 0$, we set $\varphi_a = -\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{B}}$. In the case $n = 1$ this is simply the equality $\varphi_a(\omega) = (a - \omega)/(1 - \overline{a}\omega)$. Traditionally, these mappings are also called biholomorphic automorphisms. By $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = \{U \circ \varphi_a : a \in \mathbb{B},\ U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the group of all unitary transformations of the space $\mathbb{C}^n$, is

---

[1] 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47B35

Key words and phrases. Bergman projection, Bloch space.

denoted the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball. One often calls $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$ the group of Möbius transformations of $\mathbb{B}$.

Observe that $\varphi_a(0) = a$. Since φ_a is involutive, i.e. $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{B}}$, we also have $\varphi_a(a) = 0$.

Viewing $\mathbb{C}^n$ as $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, the real Jacobian of φ_a is given by

$$(J_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi_a)(\omega) = \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\langle \omega, a\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Two identities

$$1 - |\varphi_a(\omega)|^2 = \frac{(1-|a|^2)(1-|\omega|^2)}{|1-\langle \omega, a\rangle\,|^2} \tag{2}$$

and

$$(1-\langle \omega, a\rangle)(1-\langle \varphi_a(\omega), a\rangle) = 1-|a|^2, \tag{3}$$

for all $a,\ \omega \in B$, will also be useful. By using (2) we obtain the next relation

$$\begin{aligned} dv_\alpha(\varphi_a(\omega)) &= (1-|\varphi_a(\omega)|^2)^\alpha (J_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi_a)(\omega) dv(\omega) \\ &= \left(\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)(1-|a|^2)}{|1-\langle \omega, a\rangle\,|^2}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\langle \omega, a\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1} dv(\omega) \\ &= \left(\frac{\left(1-|a|^2\right)}{|1-\langle \omega, a\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1+\alpha} dv_\alpha(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

For a holomorphic function f with ∇f we denote the complex gradient

$$\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(z)\right).$$

The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ contains all functions f holomorphic in $\mathbb{B}$ for which the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_\beta := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)\,|\nabla f(z)|$$

is finite. One can obtain a true norm by adding $|f(0)|$, more precisely in the following way

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\beta, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space with the above norm. The standard reference for Bloch space of the unit disc is [1]. For the high dimension case we refer to [6], [7] and [9].

Let L^p stands for Lebesgue space of all measurable functions in $\mathbb{B}$ which modulus is integrable in $\mathbb{B}$ with exponent p when $1 \le p < \infty$ and for $p = \infty$ the space of essentially bounded measurable functions in the unit ball. The Bergman projection operator P_α for $\alpha > -1$ is defined by

$$P_\alpha g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z, w) g(w) dv_\alpha(w), \quad g \in L^p(\mathbb{B}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w) = \frac{1}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}, \quad z,\ w \in \mathbb{B}$$

is the weighted Bergman kernel. Bergman type projections are central operators when dealing with questions related to analytic function spaces. One often wants to prove that Bergman projections are bounded and the exact operator norm of the operator is difficult to obtain. By Forelli–Rudin theorem, P_α is bounded if and only if $\alpha > 1/p - 1$. Thus, it is not bounded as operator $L^1 \to A^1$ and it is known that it is not bounded as $L^\infty \to H^\infty$. On the other hand, for $n = 1$ it is well known that the Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded and onto, see [8]. For $n > 1$ the operator $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ is surjective what can be seen from [9, Theorem 3.4]) in the Zhu book.

The $\beta-$norm and $\mathcal{B}-$norm of the Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ are

$$\|P_\alpha\|_\beta = \sup_{\|g\|_\infty \le 1} \|P_\alpha g\|_\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{\|g\|_\infty \le 1} \|P_\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

There are several equivalent ways to introduce the Bloch spaces in the ball $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. The previous one is natural and straightforward but the norm defined in that way is not invariant with respect to the group $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$. The following Bloch norm has this property.

We define the invariant gradient $|\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|$ where

$$\tilde{\nabla} f(z) = \nabla(f \circ \varphi_z)(0),$$

where φ_z is an automorphisms of the unit ball such that $\varphi_z(0) = z$. This norm is invariant w.r.t. automorphisms of the unit ball. Namely

$$|\tilde{\nabla}(f \circ \varphi)| = |(\tilde{\nabla} f) \circ \varphi|$$

for $\varphi \in \mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$. Then the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ contains all holomorphic functions f in the ball $\mathbb{B}$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\beta}} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)| < \infty$$

(cf. [9, Theorem 3.4] or [6]). For $n = 1$ we have $|\tilde{\nabla} f(z)| = (1-|z|^2)\,|\nabla f(z)|$, but for $n > 1$ this is not true. Notice that $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\beta}}$ is also a semi-norm. One can obtain a norm in the following way

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\tilde{\beta}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

The $\tilde{\beta}$-norm ($\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$-norm) of the Bergman projection is

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}} = \sup_{\|f\| \le 1} \|P_\alpha g\|_{\tilde{\beta}}, \quad (\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \sup_{\|g\| \le 1} \|P_\alpha g\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}).$$

From the proof of [9, Theorem 3.4]) we find out that

$$\|P_\alpha g\|_{\tilde{\beta}} \le C \|g\|_\infty,$$

where C is a positive constant. The later implies that P_α is a bounded operator since

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \le 1 + \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}}.$$

Before stating the main results let us prove the following simple lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *For $\alpha > -1$ we have*

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 1 + \|P_\alpha\|_\beta \tag{4}$$

and

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \le 1 + \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Since

$$|P_\alpha g(0)| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{B}} g(w)dv_\alpha(w)\right| \le \|g\|_\infty$$

it follows that

$$\|P_\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |P_\alpha g(0)| + \|P_\alpha g\|_\beta \le \|g\|_\infty + \|P_\alpha\|_\beta \|g\|_\infty.$$

This implies (4). The relation (5) can be proved similarly. □

In this paper we find the exact norm of P_α w.r.t. $\beta-$Bloch (semi) norm, and estimate the $\tilde{\beta}-$Bloch (semi) norm. It is the content of our Theorem 1.2 which generalizes the result from the recent paper [4] in two directions. Let

$$C_{\alpha,n} := \frac{\Gamma(2+n+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2((2+n+\alpha)/2)}.$$

In this paper we prove the following two theorems

Theorem 1.2. *For the $\beta-$(semi) norm of the Bergman projection P_α we have*

$$\|P_\alpha\|_\beta = C_{\alpha,n}$$

where Γ is Euler's Gamma function.

In order to formulate the next theorem, assume that $n > 1$ and define

$$\ell(t) = (n+1+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|(1-w_1)\cos t + w_2 \sin t|}{|w_1 - 1|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w). \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1.3. *For $\alpha > -1$ we have*

$$\ell(\pi/2) = \frac{\pi}{2}\ell(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}C_{\alpha,n}. \tag{7}$$

For the $\tilde{\beta}-$ (semi) norm of the Bergman projection P_α we have

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}} = \tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} = \max_{0\le t\le \pi/2} \ell(t) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{2}C_{\alpha,n} \le \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}} \le \frac{\sqrt{\pi^2+4}}{2}C_{\alpha,n}. \tag{9}$$

Remark 1.4. *For $\alpha = 0$ we put $P = P_\alpha$ and we have*

$$\|P\|_\beta = \frac{(n+1)!}{\Gamma^2(1+n/2)}.$$

Moreover, for $n = 1$ we obtain

$$\|P\|_\beta = \frac{8}{\pi}$$

which presents the main result in [4]. *As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 1.1 we have the next norm estimates of the Bergman projection:*

$$C_{\alpha,n} \le \|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 1 + C_{\alpha,n}$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{2}C_{\alpha,n} \le \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \le 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi^2+4}}{2}C_{\alpha,n}.$$

Conjecture 1.5. *In connection with Theorem 1.3, we conjecture that*

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} = \frac{\pi}{2}C_{\alpha,n}.$$

See ***Appendix*** *below for an approach that can be of interest.*

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.2

What we have to find is

$$\|P_\alpha\|_\beta = \sup\{(1-|z|^2)\,|\nabla_z(P_\alpha g)(z)| : |z|<1,\ \|g\|_\infty \le 1\}.$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$\nabla_z \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w) = \frac{(1+n+\alpha)\overline{w}}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+2+\alpha}}, \quad z,\ w\in\mathbb{B}, \tag{10}$$

and this implies the formula

$$\nabla_z(P_\alpha g)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_z\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w)g(w)dv_\alpha(w), \quad z\in\mathbb{B}.$$

First of all, for a fixed $z\in\mathbb{B}$ and for $\|g\|_\infty \le 1$ we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla(P_\alpha g)(z)| &= \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} |\langle \nabla P_\alpha g(z), \zeta\rangle| \\
&= \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} \left|\left\langle \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_z\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w)g(w)dv_\alpha(w), \zeta\right\rangle\right| \\
&= \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} \left|\int_{\mathbb{B}} \langle \nabla_z\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w)g(w),\zeta\rangle\, dv_\alpha(w)\right| \\
&\le \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle \nabla_z\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w)g(w),\zeta\rangle|\, dv_\alpha(w) \\
&= \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left|\left\langle \frac{(1+n+\alpha)\overline{w}}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+2+\alpha}}, \zeta\right\rangle\right| |g(w)| dv_\alpha(w) \\
&\le \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1+n+\alpha)\,|\langle \overline{w},\zeta\rangle|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$F_\zeta(z) = (1+n+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)\,\left|\langle w,\overline{\zeta}\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w).$$

The statement of the Theorem 1.2 will follow directly from the following equalities

$$\|P_\alpha\|_\beta = \sup\{F_\zeta(z) : z\in\mathbb{B},\ \zeta\in\mathbb{S}\} = C_\alpha,$$

which will be proved through the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *For every $\alpha > -1$ we have*

$$\sup\{F_\zeta(z) : z \in \mathbb{B},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{S}\} \le C_{\alpha,n}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\alpha > -1$ there exists a sequence g_k of functions $\|g_k\|_\infty = 1$ and a sequence of vectors $z_k \in \mathbb{B},\ k \ge 1$ such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (1 - |z_k|^2)|\nabla(P_\alpha g_k)(z_k)| = C_{\alpha,n}.$$

In order to give proofs of the previous lemmas we need [5, Proposition 1.4.10] and some its corollaries collected in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *a) For $z \in \mathbb{B}$, c real, $t > -1$ define*

$$J_{c,t}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|w|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, w\rangle|^{n+1+t+c}} dv(w).$$

When $c < 0$, then $J_{c,t}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{B}$. Moreover,

$$J_{c,t}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(1+t)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(k+\lambda_1)|z|^{2k}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n+1+t+k)}, \tag{11}$$

where $\lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+t+c}{2}$.
b) Further we can write $J_{c,t}$ in the closed form as

$$J_{c,t}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+t)\ F[\lambda_1, \lambda_1, 1+n+t, |z|^2]}{\Gamma(1+n+t)}, \tag{12}$$

where F is the Gauss hypergeometric function. In particular

$$J_{c,t}\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(-c)}{\Gamma^2(1/2(1-c+n+t))}. \tag{13}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.3. The first part of proposition coincides with the first part of [5, Proposition 1.4.10] together with its proof. In order to prove the part b) we recall the classical definition of the Gauss hypergeometric function:

$$F(a, b, c, z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n, \tag{14}$$

where $(d)_n = d(d+1)\cdots(d+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. The series converges at least for complex $z \in \mathbb{U} := \{z : |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and for $z \in \mathbb{T} := \{z : |z| = 1\}$, if $c > a + b$. For $\Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0$ we have the following well-known formula

$$F(a, b, c, z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}}{(1-tz)^a} dt. \tag{15}$$

In particular the Gauss theorem states that

$$F(a, b, c, 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)},\ \ \Re(c) > \Re(a+b). \tag{16}$$

In order to derive (12) from (11), we use the formula $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ and obtain

$$\Gamma(k + \lambda_1) = (\lambda_1)_k \Gamma(\lambda_1) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\Gamma(n+1+t+k) = (n+1+t)_k\Gamma(n+1+t). \tag{18}$$

From (14), (17) and (18), by taking $a = b = \lambda_1$ and $c = 1 + n + t$, we derive (12). The formula (13) follows from (16) and observing that $c > a + b = 1 + n + t + c$. □

Also we need the Vitali theorem, and include its formulation (cf. [2, Theorem 26.C]).

Theorem 2.4 (Vitali)**.** *Let X be a measure space with finite measure μ, and let $h_k : X \mapsto \mathbf{C}$ be a sequence of functions that is uniformly integrable, i.e. such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$, independent of k, satisfying*

$$\mu(E) < \delta \implies \int_E |h_k|\, d\mu < \varepsilon. \tag{†}$$

Now: if $\lim_{k\to\infty} h_k(x) = h(x)$ a.e., then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_X h_k\, d\mu = \int_X h\, d\mu. \tag{‡}$$

In particular, if

$$\sup_k \int_X |h_k|^p\, d\mu < \infty, \quad \textit{for some } p > 1,$$

then (†) and (‡) hold.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. For fixed $z \in \mathbb{B}$ let us make the change of variable $w = \varphi_z(\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{B}$ in the integral which represent $F_\zeta(z)$. In previous section we obtained the next relation for pull-back measure

$$dv_\alpha(\varphi_z(\omega)) = \frac{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{n+1+\alpha}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n+2+2\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega).$$

By using this result and (3) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_\zeta(z)}{1+n+\alpha} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)\left|\left\langle w,\overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega),\overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\varphi_z(\omega)\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}} \frac{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{n+1+\alpha}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n+2+2\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{n+2+\alpha}\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega),\overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\varphi_z(\omega)\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}\,|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n+2+2\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left(|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}|1-\langle z,\varphi_z(\omega)\rangle|\right)^{n+2+\alpha}\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega),\overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\varphi_z(\omega)\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}\,|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n+2+2\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega),\overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{F_\zeta(z)}{1+n+\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega). \tag{19}$$

From the last representation of $F_\zeta(z)$ it follows

$$\frac{F_\zeta(z)}{1+n+\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_z(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) \le c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha dv(\omega)}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} = c_\alpha J_{c,t}(z),$$

where we set $c=-1$ and $t=\alpha$. Then $\lambda_1 = \frac{n+\alpha}{2}$, and $c_\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}$ as in (1). For $z \in \mathbb{B},\ z \neq 0$ we have

$$J_{c,t}(z) \le J_{c,t}(z/|z|) = \frac{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2((2+n+\alpha)/2)}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{F_\zeta(z)}{1+n+\alpha} \le \frac{c_\alpha \Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2((2+n+\alpha)/2)} = \frac{C_{\alpha,n}}{1+n+\alpha} \tag{20}$$

what is the statement of lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Take $\zeta = e_1$ and $z = z_k = \frac{k}{k+1}\zeta$. Define

$$g_k(w) = \frac{w_1}{|w_1|} \frac{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}}{(1-\langle w,z\rangle)^{n+2+\alpha}}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B},\ w_1 \neq 0.$$

Then $g_k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ and $\|g_k\|_\infty = 1$. Further from (19) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|z_k|^2)|\nabla(P_\alpha g_k)(z_k)| &\ge (1-|z_k|^2)\left|\langle \nabla(P_\alpha g_k)(z_k), \zeta\rangle\right| \\ &= (1-|z_k|^2)\left|\int_{\mathbb{B}} \langle \nabla_z \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w) g_k(w), \zeta\rangle\, dv_\alpha(w)\right| \\ &= (1+n+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|z_k|^2)|w_1|}{|1-\langle z_k,w\rangle|^{n+2+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w) \\ &= (1+n+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z_k,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) := G_k\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For $p = \frac{n+\alpha+1/2}{n+\alpha}$ ($p>1$), according to Proposition 2.3 (take $c=-1/2$ and $t=\alpha$)

$$\sup_k \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z_k,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}}\right)^p dv_\alpha(\omega) < \infty$$

(notice that $\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right| \le 1$). Therefore by Vitali theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} G_k &= (1+n+\alpha)\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z_k,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega) \\ &= (1+n+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}} \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z_k,\omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

For fixed $w \in \mathbb{B}$ we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle \varphi_{z_k}(\omega), \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle z_k, \omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} = \frac{\left|\left\langle \zeta, \overline{\zeta}\right\rangle\right|}{|1-\langle \zeta, \omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{|1-\langle \zeta, \omega\rangle|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Therefore by using Proposition 2.3 again we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}(1-|z_k|^2)|\nabla(P_\alpha g)(z_k)| &= c_\alpha(1+n+\alpha)J_{-1,\alpha}(e_1)\\ &= c_\alpha\frac{(1+n+\alpha)\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2(1/2(2+n+\alpha))}\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2+n+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2((2+n+\alpha)/2)} = C_{\alpha,n}.\end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} := \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\beta}} = \sup\{|\tilde{\nabla}_z(P_\alpha g)(z)| : |z|<1,\ \|g\|_\infty \le 1\}.$$

We first prove (8). It follows from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *For $\alpha > -1$ and ℓ defined in* (6) *we have*

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} \le \max_{0\le t\le \pi/2} \ell(t).$$

Proof. Let $f = P_\alpha g$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f\circ\varphi_a)(z) &= (P_\alpha g\circ\varphi_a)(z)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_\alpha(\varphi_a(z), w)g(w)dv_\alpha(w)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_\alpha(\varphi_a(z), \varphi_a(w))g(\varphi_a(w))dv_\alpha(\varphi_a(w))\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$1-\langle\varphi_a(z), \varphi_a(w)\rangle = \frac{(1-\langle a,a\rangle)(1-\langle z,w\rangle)}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)(1-\langle a,w\rangle)}$$

for

$$\theta = n+1+\alpha \tag{22}$$

we have

$$f\circ\phi_a(z) = \frac{(1-\langle z,a\rangle\,|)^\theta}{(1-|z|^2)^\theta}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{(1-\langle a,w\rangle\,|)^\theta}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^\theta} g\circ\varphi_a(w)dv_\alpha(\varphi_a(w))$$

Differentiating in z at 0 by using the product rule we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}f(a) = \theta\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{(\bar{w}-\bar{a})(1-\langle a,w\rangle)^\theta}{(1-|a|^2)^\theta} g\circ\varphi_a(w)dv_\alpha(\varphi_a(w)),$$

where

$$dv_\alpha(\varphi_a(w)) = \left(\frac{\big(1-|a|^2\big)}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1+\alpha} dv_\alpha(w).$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\nabla} f(a) = \theta \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(\bar{w}-\bar{a})(1-\langle a,w\rangle)^{\theta}}{(1-|a|^2)^{\theta-n-1-\alpha}} \frac{g\circ\varphi_a(w)}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\alpha)}} dv_\alpha(w), \tag{23}$$

and consequently for

$$\theta' = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}\theta \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\nabla} f(a)| &= \theta \sup_{\zeta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\langle \frac{(\bar{w}-\bar{a})(1-\langle a,w\rangle)^{\theta}}{(1-|a|^2)^{\theta-n-1-\alpha}} \frac{g\circ\varphi_a(w)}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\alpha)}}, \zeta \right\rangle dv_\alpha(w) \right| \\ &\le \theta' \sup_{\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left| \left\langle \frac{(\bar{w}-\bar{a})}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}}, \zeta \right\rangle \right| |g\circ\varphi_a(w)|(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha} dv(w) \\ &\le \theta' \|g\|_\infty \sup_{\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle \bar{w}-\bar{a}, \zeta\rangle| \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L(a) = \sup_{\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle \bar{w}-\bar{a}, \zeta\rangle| \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(w)$$

and define

$$L = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}} L(a).$$

Then

$$L = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}} \sup_{\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |S_{\zeta,w}(a)|\, dv_\alpha(w),$$

where

$$S_{\zeta,w}(a) = \frac{\langle w-a, \zeta\rangle}{(1-\langle w,a\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}.$$

Observe that $S_{\zeta,w}(a)$ is a subharmonic function in a. It follows that $a \to L(a)$ is subharmonic and its maximum is achieved on the boundary of the unit ball. Therefore there exist $a_0, \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$L = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle w-a_0, \zeta_0\rangle| \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{|1-\langle w,a_0\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(w).$$

Let U be an unitary transformation of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto itself such that $Ua_0 = e_1$ and $U\zeta_0 = \cos t e_1 + \sin t e_2$ for some $t \in [0,\pi]$ (Here $t = \arg(a_0,\zeta_0)$). Take the substitution $w = U\omega$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle U\omega - Ue_1, \zeta_0\rangle| \frac{(1-|U\omega|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\langle U\omega, a_0\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(U\omega) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle \omega - e_1, U\zeta_0\rangle| \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\langle \omega, Ua_0\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\langle \omega - e_1, \cos te_1 + \sin te_2\rangle| \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\langle \omega, e_1\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|(1-w_1)\cos t + w_2 \sin t|}{|w_1-1|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let ℓ be defined as in* (6). *Then*

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} \geq \ell(\pi/2).$$

Proof. Let $\zeta = e_2$, $a = \epsilon_k e_1$, where $\epsilon_n = k/(k+1)$. Then

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f(a)\zeta| = \theta' \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \bar{w}_2 (1-\epsilon_k w_1)^{n+1+\alpha} g\circ\varphi_a(w) \frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\epsilon_k w_1|^{2(n+1+\alpha)}} dv(w) \right|.$$

Define g_k such that

$$\bar{w}_2(1-\epsilon_k w_1)^{n+1+\alpha} g_k\circ\varphi_a(w) = \left|\bar{w}_2(1-\epsilon_k w_1)^{n+1+\alpha}\right|$$

and let $f_k = P_\alpha(g_k)$. Then we have

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f_k(a)\zeta| = \theta' \int_{\mathbb{B}} |w_2| \frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\epsilon_k w_1|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv(w).$$

Thus

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} \geq \sup_{k,\zeta,a} |\tilde{\nabla} f_k(a)\zeta| \geq \ell(\pi/2).$$

□

In order to prove (7) and (9) we prove the following lemma (which is an extension of a corresponding result of Bungart, Folland and Fefferman, cf. Rudin book [5, Proposition 1.4.9]).

Lemma 3.3. *For a multi-index $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we have*

$$\int_S |\zeta^\eta| d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{(n-1)! \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma[1+\frac{\eta_i}{2}]}{\Gamma[n+\frac{|\eta|}{2}]} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |z^\eta| dv_\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]}{\Gamma[1+\alpha+|\eta|/2+n]} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma[1+\frac{\eta_i}{2}]. \tag{26}$$

Here $w^\eta := \prod_{k=1}^n w_k^{\eta_k}$ and $|\eta| = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$.

Notice that the following proof works as well assuming that $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$, where $\eta_j > -1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ are arbitrary real numbers.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. The proof of (25) goes along the proof of the similar statement in Rudin's book where it is proved the same statement for $\eta = 2\chi$, where χ is a multi-index. Here are details for the sake of completeness. Let

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |z^\eta| \exp(-|z|^2) dV(z),$$

where dV is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The expression under integral is

$$\prod_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{\eta_j} \exp(-|z|^2).$$

By Fubini's theorem

$$I = \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\lambda|^{\eta_j} \exp(-|\lambda|^2) dV(\lambda).$$

One can easy compute the next for $m,\ \Re(m) > -1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\lambda|^m \exp(-|\lambda|^2) dV(\lambda).$$

Namely, by using polar coordinates $\lambda = r\zeta,\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\lambda|^m \exp(-|\lambda|^2) dv(\lambda) &= 2\int_0^\infty r dr \int_{\mathbb{T}} |r\zeta|^m \exp(-|r\zeta|^2) d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &= 2\int_0^\infty r dr \int_{\mathbb{T}} r^m \exp(-r^2) d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &= 2\int_0^\infty r dr \int_{\mathbb{T}} r^m \exp(-r^2) d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &= 2\int_0^\infty r^{m+1} \exp(-r^2) dr\\ &= \int_0^\infty (r^2)^{m/2} \exp(-r^2) d(r^2)\\ &= \int_0^\infty t^{m/2} \exp(-t) dt\\ &= \Gamma(1 + m/2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\lambda|^m \exp(-|\lambda|^2) dV(\lambda) = \pi\Gamma(1 + m/2).$$

and it follows

$$I = \pi^n \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 + \eta_j/2).$$

On the other hand, applying polar coordinates in I, we obtain (ω_{2n} is volume measure of unit ball)

$$I/\omega_{2n} = 2n \int_0^\infty r^{|\eta|+2n-1} \exp(-r^2) dr \int_S |\zeta^\eta| d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Thus

$$\int_S |\zeta^\eta| d\sigma(\zeta) = I/(\omega_{2n} \cdot 2n \int_0^\infty r^{|\eta|+2n-1} \exp(-r^2) dr).$$

Since $\omega_{2n} = \pi^n/n!$ and $2\int_0^\infty r^{|\eta|+2n-1}\exp(-r^2)dr = \Gamma(n+|\eta|/2)$ it follows

$$\int_S |\zeta^\eta| d\sigma(\zeta) = (n-1)! \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1+\eta_j/2)}{\Gamma(n+|\eta|/2)}.$$

Let us prove now (26). For a mapping $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{B}} f(x) dv_\alpha(x) &= \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \int_{\mathbb{B}} (1-|x|^2)^\alpha f(x) dv(x) \\ &= 2n\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \int_0^1 r^{2n-1}(1-r^2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}} f(r\eta) d\sigma(\eta) dr.\end{aligned}$$

For $f(z) = |z|^\eta$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} f(r\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = r^{|\eta|} \frac{(n-1)! \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma[1+\frac{\eta_i}{2}]}{\Gamma[n+\frac{|\eta|}{2}]}.$$

Further we have

$$2n\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \int_0^1 r^{2n+|\eta|-1}(1-r^2)^\alpha dr = \frac{\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]\Gamma[|\eta|/2+n]}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma[1+\alpha+|\eta|/2+n]}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

The relation (7) and the left-hand inequality in (9) follows from the following lemma (in view of (7)).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\ell(t)$ be defined as in* (6). *Then $\ell(0) = C_{\alpha,n}$ and $\ell(\pi/2) = \frac{\pi}{2} C_{\alpha,n}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. The relation $\ell(0) = C_{\alpha,n}$ follows at once. Prove the second relation.

Observe first that for $l \neq k$

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} w_1^l \bar{w}_1^k |w_2| dv(w) = 0.$$

By choosing $\eta(k) = (2k, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|w_2|}{|1-w_1|^{n+1+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|w_2|}{|(1-w_1)^{(n+1+\alpha)/2}|^2} dv_\alpha(w) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{-(n+1+\alpha)/2}{k}^2 \int_{\mathbb{B}} |w_1|^{2k} |w_2| dv_\alpha(w), \quad w = (w_1, \dots, w_n). \end{aligned}$$

From (26) we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |w_1|^{2k} |w_2| dv_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |z^{\eta(k)}| dv_\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]}{\Gamma[1+\alpha+|\eta|/2+n]} \Gamma[3/2]\Gamma[1+k].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}J &= \Gamma[3/2]\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{-(n+1+\alpha)/2}{k}^2\frac{k!}{\Gamma[1+\alpha+k+n+1/2]}\\ &= \frac{\Gamma[3/2]\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]}{\Gamma[\alpha+n+3/2]}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(((n+1+\alpha)/2)_k)^2}{(\alpha+n+3/2)_k k!}\\ &= \frac{\Gamma[3/2]\Gamma[1+\alpha+n]}{\Gamma[\alpha+n+3/2]}F[(n+1+\alpha)/2,(n+1+\alpha)/2,(\alpha+n+3/2),1]\\ &= \frac{\pi\Gamma[1+a+n]}{2\Gamma[(2+a+n)/2]^2}.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is derived with help of Gauss theorem i.e. of the relation (16). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\pi/2) &= (n+1+\alpha)\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{|w_2|}{|1-w_1|^{n+1}}dv_\alpha(w)\\ &= \frac{\pi(n+1+\alpha)\Gamma[1+a+n]}{2\Gamma[(2+a+n)/2]^2} = \frac{\pi\Gamma[2+a+n]}{2\Gamma[(2+a+n)/2]^2}\\ &\left(> \frac{\Gamma(2+n+\alpha)}{\Gamma^2((2+n+\alpha)/2)} = \|P\|_\beta\right).\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

To finish the the proof of Theorem 1.3 we need to prove the right inequality in (9). It follows from this simple observation

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha,n} \le |\sin t|\ell(0) + |\cos t|\ell(\pi/2) \le \sqrt{\ell(0)^2 + \ell(\pi/2)^2}.$$

Remark 3.5. *If $g \in C(\overline{\mathbb{B}})$ and $f = P_\alpha[g]$, then it follows from* (23) *and Vitali theorem that there exist a mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that for $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}$*

$$\lim_{a\to\zeta}\tilde{\nabla}f(a) = g(\zeta)\Phi(\zeta).$$

But if g is a polinom, then we know that $\lim_{a\to t}\tilde{\nabla}f = 0$, implying that

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{(\bar{w}-\bar{\zeta})(1-\langle\zeta,w\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}{|1-\langle w,\zeta\rangle|^{2(n+1+\alpha)}}dv_\alpha(w) = 0, \text{ for } \zeta \in \mathbb{S}.$$

If by $\mathcal{B}_0$ we denote the little Bloch space, i.e. the space of holomorphic mappings f defined on the unit ball such that

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)| = 0,$$

and consider the Bergman projection

$$P_\alpha : C(\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \to \mathcal{B},$$

then by the previous consideration we obtain

$$P_\alpha(C(\overline{\mathbb{B}})) \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

It follows that

$$\|P_\alpha : C(\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \to \mathcal{B}_0\| \le \tilde{C}_{\alpha,n}$$

w.r.t. invariant $\tilde{\beta}$ *Bloch semi-norm. Moreover, since the extremal sequence (see the proof of Lemma 3.2) is consisted of continuous functions* g_k*, it follows that*

$$\|P_\alpha : C(\overline{\mathbb{B}}) \to \mathcal{B}_0\| = \tilde{C}_{\alpha,n}.$$

The same can be repeated for the standard β *Bloch semi-norm.*

3.1. **Appendix.** Regarding Conjecture 1.5 we offer the following observation.

Put $\alpha = 0$ and $n = 2$. Let

$$I(t) = \frac{\ell(t)}{(n+1+\alpha)} = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{|(1-w_1)\cos t + w_2 \sin t|}{|w_1 - 1|^3} dv(w).$$

Take the substitution φ: $a_1 = w_2/(1-w_1)$, $a_2 = w_1$ on the integral. We obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}) = B' = \{(a_1, a_2) : |a_1|^2 \le \frac{1-|a_2|^2}{|1-a_2|^2}\},$$

and $|w_1 - 1|^{-2} dv(w) = dv(a)$ and

$$I(t) = \int_{B'} |\cos t + a_1 \sin t| dv(a).$$

Let $a_2 = pe^{is}$, $0 < p < 1$,

$$a_1 = Re^{i\sigma}$$

$$0 \le R \le R_0 = \frac{1-p^2}{1+p^2-2p\cos s}.$$

Then

$$\int_{B'} |\cos t + a_1 \sin t| dv(a) = \int_0^{2\pi} ds \int_0^{R_0} dR \int_0^1 dp \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos t + a_1 \sin t| J d\sigma,$$

where $J = pR$. Define

$$h(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos t + a_1 \sin t| d\sigma = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\cos^2 t + R\sin t(2\cos\sigma\cos t + R\sin t)} d\sigma.$$

Before we go further remark the following, $h(t)$ is indeed the circumference of the ellipse $E[a,b]$ with the axis $a = \cos t + R\sin t$ and $b = |\cos t - R\sin t|$. It can be expressed by the formula

$$h(t) = 4aE[\epsilon^2]$$

where E is the elliptic function of the second kind and

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{1 - b^2/a^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2R\sin(2t)}{(\cos t + R\sin t)^2}}$$

is the eccentricity of the ellipse.

Therefore

$$h'(t) = \csc(2t)K[\epsilon^2](-\cos t + R\sin t) + \cot(2t)E[\epsilon^2](\cos t + R\sin t),$$

where K is the elliptic function of the first kind. By using the asymptotic formulas

$$K[\epsilon^2] \approx \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{8}\epsilon^2$$

and

$$E[\epsilon^2] \approx \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}\epsilon^2$$

we obtain that

$$h'(0) := \lim_{t\to 0} h'(t) = h'(\pi/2) := \lim_{t\to\pi/2} h'(t) = 0.$$

This means that $I'(0) = I'(\pi/2) = 0$, or what is the same 0 and $\pi/2$ are stationary points of the function I.

REFERENCES

[1] J.M. ANDERSON, J. CLUNIE AND CH. POMERENKE, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12–37.

[2] P. R. HALMOS, *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, 1950.

[3] M. MATELJEVIĆ, M. PAVLOVIĆ, *An extension of the Forelli-Rudin projection theorem*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **36** (1993), 375–389.

[4] A. PERÄLÄ, *On the optimal constant for the Bergman projection onto the Bloch space*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. **37** (2012), pp. 245–249.

[5] W. RUDIN, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[6] R. M. TIMONEY, *Bloch functions in several complex variables.* I. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 241–267.

[7] R.M. TIMONEY, *Bloch functions in several complex variables.* II. J. Reine Angew. Math. **319** (1980), 1–22.

[8] K. ZHU, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1990.

[9] K. ZHU, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2005.

FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, CETINJSKI PUT B.B. 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO
E-mail address: `davidk@ac.me`

FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, CETINJSKI PUT B.B. 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO
E-mail address: `marijanmmarkovic@gmail.com`